## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

WALTER RAY REDMOND,

No. C 07-04276 CW (PR)

Plaintiff,

ORDER DIRECTING DEFENDANTS TO PROVIDE COURT WITH COMPLETE COPIES OF TRANSCRIPTS OF PLAINTIFF'S DEPOSITIONS ON AUGUST 7, 2009 AND

SAN FRANCISCO POLICE DEPT.,

MAY 4, 2010

et al.,

v.

Defendants.

On August 20, 2007, Plaintiff Walter Ray Redmond filed this civil rights action under 42 U.S.C. § 1983, arising from a July 15, 2007 interaction with four narcotics officers from the San Francisco Police Department.

Before the Court is Defendants' motion to dismiss for failure to prosecute. Defendants claim that dismissal is appropriate under Rules 37(d)(1)-(3) and 41(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure because Plaintiff "has repeatedly failed to submit to his duly noticed deposition, despite repeated orders by this Court requiring his attendance." (Mot. to Dismiss at 1.) The record shows that Plaintiff failed to appear at his deposition duly noticed for June 17, 2009. (Lee Decl. ¶ 5.) On July 28, 2009, Defendants served Plaintiff with a second notice of deposition to occur on August 7, 2009. (Id. ¶ 8; Defs. Ex. 8.) On August 7, 2009, Plaintiff appeared for his deposition. Forty-seven minutes after the deposition began, Plaintiff decided to terminate the deposition and leave. (Margolis Decl., Ex. A; Redmond Depo. at 41:2-19.) Plaintiff failed to appear at the third deposition duly noticed for April 21, 2010. (Margolis Decl. ¶ 6.) Finally,

1	P.
2	2
3	¶
4	
5	D
6	r
7	
8	D
9	P.
10	t]
11	
12	D
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	

28

Ρl	air	ntiff	atte	nded	d the	fourth	n de	eposit	ion	duly	noti	iced	for	May	4,
20	10;	howe	ever,	he	term	inated	it	after	sev	venty-	-six	minu	ıtes.	. (	Id.
$\P$	8,	9.)													

In a letter to the Court dated May 13, 2010, Plaintiff opposes

Defendants' motion stating, "I told my story twice and it was not recorded at all!" (Pl.'s May 13, 2010 Letter at 3.)

Prior to ruling on the motion to dismiss, the Court directs

Defendants to provide it with complete copies of the transcripts of

Plaintiff's August 7, 2009 and May 4, 2010 depositions no later

than three (3) days from the date of this Order.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: 6/14/2010

Claudichillen

United States District Judge

## Case 4:07-cv-04276-CW Document 255 Filed 06/14/10 Page 3 of 3

## United States District Court For the Northern District of California

23

24

25

26

27

28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 2 3 WALTER RAY REDMOND, 4 Case Number: CV07-04276 CW Plaintiff, 5 **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE** v. 6 SAN FRANCISCO POLICE DEPT et al, 7 Defendant. 8 9 I, the undersigned, hereby certify that I am an employee in the Office of the Clerk, U.S. District Court, Northern District of California. 10 That on June 14, 2010, I SERVED a true and correct copy(ies) of the attached, by placing said 11 copy(ies) in a postage paid envelope addressed to the person(s) hereinafter listed, by depositing said envelope in the U.S. Mail, or by placing said copy(ies) into an inter-office delivery receptacle 12 located in the Clerk's office. 13 14 Walter Ray Redmond 1250 Pierce St #1 San Francisco, CA 94115 16 17 Dated: June 14, 2010 Richard W. Wieking, Clerk By: Nikki Riley, Deputy Clerk 18 19 20 21 22